



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,555	03/28/2000	Julious L. Willett	0209-00003	5642
7590 01/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Daniel H. Bliss ESQ			RAJGURU, UMAĶANT K	
Bliss McGLynn P.C. 2075 West Big Beaver Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 600 Troy, MI 48084			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/536,555	WILLETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of the I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed iirty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u>August 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims	n					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 41</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	or ordenorra q amamarra					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	· ·				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 						
Attachment(s)	-					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/536,555 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. An IDS and a response have been filed resp on June 02, 2003 and August 11, 2003.

- 2. Still the same claims viz. 1-13 and 41 are being examined.
- 3. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-13 & 41 in paper of August 11, 2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all claims can be searched in one search. This is not found persuasive because contrary to what the applicants assert, the claims being directed to different invention, shall need far more time & effort on the part of examiner for a complete search covering all claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 4. Rejections of claims 1-8 & 10-13 (items 3, 6, & 7 of prior office action) are now withdrawn.
- 5. Rejection of claims 1,2,3,9, & 10 (item 9 of prior office action) is also withdrawn.
- 6. Rejection of claims 1-3, 9, 10, and 11 (item 11 of same prior office action) and that of claims 4-8, 12, 13 and 41 (item 12) are now withdrawn.

(It is noted here that claim 41 was inadvertently omitted in the rejection in item 12).

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/536,555

Art Unit: 1711

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claim1-13 & 41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6191196. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of following reasons.

Instant claim 1 encompasses an adipic polyester as the first component and therefore is not exactly same of claim 1 of US 6191196. Hydroxy functional polymers are known for a long time and polyester based on a dicarboxylic acid like adipic acid can have few hydroxyl groups on its chain. It is also a polymer. Hence using "an adipic polyester" for "a hydroxy functional polymer" is an obvious variation.

Instant claim 41 differs from claim 4 & US 6191196 in reciting the amount of PHEE as about 1% by wt. This limitation is also an obvious variation.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/536,555

Art Unit: 1711

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10.

examiner should be directed to U. K. Rajguru, whose telephone number is 703-308-

3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

U.K. Rajgurti/af January. 23, 2004

Page 4